301 NLRB No. 98

D--1769 Texas City, TX

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

CATALYTIC INDUSTRIAL MAINTENANCE CO. (CIMCO)

and

Case 16--CA--14845

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL UNION 527, AFL--CIO

By Chairwan Stephens and Members Crawft and Devaney
On December 20, 1990, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations
Board issued a complaint alleging that the Respondent has violated Section
8(a)(5) and (1) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's
request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 16--RC--9340.
(Official notice is taken of the ''record'' in the representation proceeding
as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g);
Frontier Hotel, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed an answer admitting
in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint.

On January 14, 1991, the General Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. On January 17, 1991, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent did not file a response.

The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

D--1769

Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

In its answer the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of the Board's unit determination in the representation proceeding.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941). Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

Findings of Fact

I. Jurisdiction

The Respondent, a corporation with its principal office and place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, has offices and places of business throughout the United States, including a facility at the Union Carbide plant in Texas City, Texas, where it is engaged in industrial maintenance and refurbishing of that facility. During the twelve months preceding issuance of the complaint, the Respondent had gross revenues in excess of \$1 million, and purchased and received goods and materials valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points located outside the State of Texas for use at its Texas City, Texas jobsite. We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

D--1769

II. Alleged Unfair Labor Practices

A. The Certification

Following the election held November 7, 1990, the Union was certified on November 15, 1990, as the collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All journeymen-electricians and electrical apprentices working for Respondent at the Union Carbide jobsite in Texas City, Texas, excluding all other employees, office clericals, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

B. Refusal to Bargain

Since November 21, 1990, the Union has requested the Respondent to bargain, and, since December 5, 1990, the Respondent has refused. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

Conclusions of Law

By refusing on and after December 5, 1990, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

Remedy

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union, and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by law, we shall construe the initial

D - -1769

period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. Mar-Jac Poultry Co., 136 NLRB 785 (1962); Lamar Hotel, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); Burnett Construction Co., 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Catalytic Industrial Maintenance Co. (CIMCO), Texas City, Texas, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

- 1. Cease and desist from
- (a) Refusing to bargain with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 527, AFL--CIO, as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.
- (b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.
- 2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.
- (a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment, and if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:
 - All journeymen-electricians and electrical apprentices working for Respondent at the Union Carbide jobsite in Texas City, Texas, excluding all other employees, office clericals, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.
 - (b) Post at its facility in Texas City, Texas copies of the attached

notice marked ''Appendix.''¹ Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 16, after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent immediately upon receipt and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material.

(c) Notify the Regional Director in writing within 20 days from the date of this Order what steps the Respondent has taken to comply.

Dated, Washington, D.C. February 12, 1991

	James M. Stephens,	Chairman
	•	
	Mary Miller Cracraft,	Member
	hary hirier oracraft,	Hember
		سسد حصر حدورت
	Dennis M. Devaney,	Member
(SEAL)	NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD	

If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading ''POSTED BY ORDER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD'' shall read ''POSTED PURSUANT TO A JUDGMENT OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS ENFORCING AN ORDER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.''

APPENDIX

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES

Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 527, AFL--CIO, as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All journeymen-electricians and electrical apprentices working for Respondent at the Union Carbide jobsite in Texas City, Texas, excluding all other employees, office clericals, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

CATALYTIC INDUSTRIAL MAINTENANCE CO. (CIMCO)

		(Employer)	
Dated	Ву		
		(Representative)	(Title)

This is an official notice and must not be defaced by anyone.

This notice must remain posted for 60 consecutive days from the date of posting and must not be altered, defaced, or covered by any other material. Any questions concerning this notice or compliance with its provisions may be directed to the Board's Office, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A24, Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6178, Telephone 817--334--2941.